

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/697,559	10/31/2003	Hans Vogel	03100133US	8410
7590 06/13/2006		EXAMINER		
McGuireWoods LLP			MILLER, BENA B	
Tysons Corner Suite 1800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1750 Tysons Boulevard			3725	
McLean, VA 22102-4215			DATE MAILED: 06/13/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/697,559	VOGEL, HANS
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Bena Miller	3725
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONET	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under Expression.	action is non-final. ice except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or		
Application Papers	•	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the d Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	pted or b) objected to by the E lrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obje	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•	•
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ty documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage
	•	1 1
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 02/23/06.	.4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Dat	PTO-413) e tent Application (PTO-152)

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed 02/23/06 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(3) because it does not include a concise explanation of the relevance, as it is presently understood by the individual designated in 37 CFR 1.56(c) most knowledgeable about the content of the information, of each patent listed that is not in the English language. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered. It should be noted that this excludes references DE 2607695 and DE2438101.

Claim Objections

Claim 1 is finally objected to because of the following informalities: After the word "comprising" in line 3, the phrase – the steps of – should be inserted. Appropriate correction is required. Applicant is requested to thoroughly peruse the remaining claims to provide appropriate corrections.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/697,559

Art Unit: 3725

Claims 1- 24 are finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Graf (US 6,312,632) in view of DE 2438104 and Hannson et al (US 6,465,046).

Graf teaches most of the elements of the claimed invention including the steps of pressing (col. 4, lines 19-26). However, Graf fails to teach the step of applying a cover layer of synthetic resin, applying a colored paper layer and applying melamine resin.

DE 2438104 teaches a process for manufacturing decorative multilayer boards made of resin impregnated paper sheets. Several of the paper sheets are laid on top of the so that a relief can be pressed in the board surface. The relief has lower parts that are blackened. A coated dark or black color is coated to a metal foil by gravure print process. The relief is pressed and afterwards, the colored layer is removed through lapping wherein the lower parts of the relief stay is blackened. De 2438104 teaches that it is know to apply a colored payer layer to the wood-based board material. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply a colored paper layer as taught by DE 2438104 to the board of Graf for the purpose of providing a base relief design on the surface of the material.

Hansson et al teaches that it is well know to provide decorative paper with melamine resin. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply melamine as suggested by Hansson et al to the wood-material base of Gaf for the purpose of providing a pattern on the wood-material base.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

It should be noted the Examiner acknowledges the resubmission of references DE 2607695 and DE2438104 with an English abstract and the references have been considered.

It should also be noted that Applicant adhered to Rule 97(c); however, since the IDS filed under Rule 97(c) does not contain the statement set forth in Rule 97(e), this action is made Final.

Applicant's submission of an information disclosure statement under 37 CFR 1.97(c) with the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(p) on 02/23/06 prompted the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS**MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 609.04(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 10/697,559

Art Unit: 3725

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bena Miller whose telephone number is 571.272.4427. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Derris Banks can be reached on *****. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Bena Miller
Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3725

bbm May 26, 2006